



## **Board of Administration**

California Public Employees' Retirement System

---

### **Agenda Item 8g**

August 21, 2013

**ITEM NAME:** Proposed Decision – In the Matter of the Application for Disability Retirement of MILAGROS OLIVER, Respondent, and DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, DIVISION OF JUVENILE JUSTICE (HEMAN G. STARK YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL), Respondent, Case No. 9002

**PROGRAM:** Benefit Services Division

**ITEM TYPE:** Action

#### **PARTIES' POSITIONS**

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision.

Respondent argues that the Board of Administration should decline to adopt the Proposed Decision.

#### **STRATEGIC PLAN**

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

#### **PROCEDURAL SUMMARY**

Respondent Milagros Oliver submitted an application for Service Retirement pending Industrial Disability Retirement based on a skin infection in her right middle finger. Respondent also claimed in her application that she experienced pain and loss of strength in her right arm and hand. CalPERS denied the application. Respondent appealed this decision and the matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings on September 18, 2012 and May 9, 2013. A Proposed Decision was issued on June 20, 2013, denying the application.

## **ALTERNATIVES**

- A. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated June 20, 2013, concerning the application of Milagros Oliver; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

- B. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated June 20, 2013, concerning the application of Milagros Oliver, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

- C. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated June 20, 2013, concerning the application of Milagros Oliver, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.

- D. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):

1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the application of Milagros Oliver, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board's Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the application of Milagros Oliver.

**BUDGET AND FISCAL IMPACTS:** Not Applicable

**ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A: Proposed Decision  
Attachment B: Staff's Argument  
Attachment C: Respondent's Argument

---

DONNA RAMEL LUM  
Deputy Executive Officer  
Customer Services and Support